

# INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION-MAKING RECORD OF DECISION

# **PART A**

DETAILS OF REPORT (Officers to complete this section	prior to issuing to cabinet member)
Title of report	Neighbourhood Planning – Application for a
	Neighbourhood Area for Herne Hill
Decision-maker	Cabinet Member for Regeneration and New Homes
Earliest date when decision can be taken	Thursday 30 November 2017
Key decision – Yes/No?	No
Date published on forward plan	N/A
Date sent to cabinet member	24 November
Recommendation	1. Notes the consultation responses received (Appendix A) in respect of the application from the Herne Hill Forum (HHF) to designate the applied-for portion of the Neighbourhood Area proposed for Herne Hill (see appendix C) as it applies to LB Southwark.
	2. Declines to designate the area applied for (appendix C and specifically the areas shown edged red on the map in Appendix D), proposed by the HHF as a Neighbourhood Area, for the reasons set out in paragraphs 35-40 of this report;
	3. Agrees to designate the area as it applies to LB Southwark shown edged in purple on the map in Appendix E (referred to in this report as the Revised Area) as the appropriate Neighbourhood Area, for the reasons set out in paragraphs 42.

ORIGINATING AUTHOR'S DETAILS (Officers to complete this section prior		
Lead officer (Name and job title)	Simon Bevan, Director of Planning	

ORIGINATING AUTHOR'S DETAILS (Officers to complete this section prior	
Report author (Name and job title)	Tom Weaver, Graduate Planner
Contact Number	0207 525 3841

#### PART B

(Cabinet member to complete this section)

# DECISION(S)

Approve the designation of the applied-for area as it applies to the LB Southwark (as set out in appendix C) and to decline the recommendation to designate the 'revised area' set out in Appendix E.

# **REASONS FOR DECISION**

As set out in the Herne Hill Forum neighbourhood area application, the report to which this record of decision sheet relates, consultation responses received on the area application and the late representation received on the recommendations set out in the report to which this decision relates

# ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Designation of the applied-for area

Designation of the 'revised area' as set out in the report recommendations and as reasoned in paras 35-40

#### REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

#### REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

One late representation was received following the close of the three-working day publication period.

The representation addressed the two key changes proposed for the 'revised area' (as per appendices D and E to the report) which sought to remove the Herne Hill Velodrome from the southern portion of the neighbourhood area and Bessemer Grange Primary School from the north eastern portion.

The representation emphasised that the Velodrome Trust itself had expressed explicit enthusiasm for specifically being included within the proposed Herne Hill neighbourhood area as the boundary was being developed in consultation with the local community prior to submission of the neighbourhood area application, and that this position has not changed. The representation also stressed the importance of the Velodrome as being a key part of the community and a key aspect of what the forum hopes to achieve with the neighbourhood plan. It was expressed that the alternative set out in the report recommendations and paras seems to have been chosen for simplicity than any thing else.

The representation emphasised that the Herne Hill community has a long history of working with the Bessemer Grange Primary school, that it falls between Herne Hill and Denmark Hill and that report's claim that the school is associated with Denmark Hill rather than Herne Hill is not substantiated.

#### ADDITIONAL ADVICE RECEIVED

The decision maker consulted a map of the catchment area of the Bessemer Grange Primary school to inform the decision, which demonstrated that a large portion of the catchment area falls within the Herne Hill area.

In light of the new information received through the late representation on the report recommendation, officers support the decision taken by the decision maker as set out in and for the reasons set out in this decision sheet.

### ANY INTERESTS DECLARED

Note: If the decision-maker has a disclosable pecuniary interest in the matter the report must be referred to the full cabinet for decision.

Where a cabinet member may discharge a function alone and becomes aware of a disclosable pecuniary interest in a matter being dealt with or to be dealt with by her/him, the cabinet member must notify the monitoring officer of the interest within 28 days and must not take any steps or further steps in the matter.

If a member is unsure as to whether an interest is a disclosable pecuniary interest they should contact the governance team for advice.

DECLARATION	
I approve/reject the recommendations set out in the report.*	
or	
I approved an alternative course of action set out in Part B.*	
or	•
I have referred this matter to the Full Cabinet for decision.*	`
(* - Please delete as appropriate)	
Signed Dated Dated Cabinet Member	
I VORDINGE MICHINGE	

Please return completed hard copy of the form to Constitutional Team, 160 Tooley Street, PO BOX 64529, London, SE1P 5LX — tel: 020 7525 7221.

# Seeking advice

You should seek advice from the relevant officer on a number of occasions:

- (a) If you wish to consider alternative options
- (b) If you are considering rejecting the proposals

Otherwise it is at your discretion when you should seek further advice and you should do so when you consider it appropriate.